

Near-horizon geometries of supersymmetric branes

José Figueroa-O'Farrill
(SUSY '98, Oxford, 13/7/98)
hep-th/9807149

$D=11$ Supergravity

Eleven-dimensional supergravity consists of the following fields: [Nahm, 77; Cremmer et al., 78]

- a Lorentzian metric g ;
- a closed 4-form F ; and
- a gravitino Ψ .

Supersymmetric vacua ($g, F, \Psi = 0$) are solutions of the equations of motion for which the supersymmetry variation $\delta_\varepsilon \Psi = 0$, (as an equation on ε) has solutions.

Elementary brane solutions preserving $\frac{1}{2}$ of the supersymmetry:

- *electric* membrane [Duff+Stelle, 91]
- *magnetic* fivebrane [Güven, 92]

Supermembranes

The elementary membrane solution has the following form: [Duff+Stelle, 91]

$$ds^2 = H^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{2,1}) + H^{\frac{1}{3}} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^8)$$
$$F = \pm d\text{vol}(\mathbb{E}^{2,1}) \wedge dH^{-1},$$

where

- $ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{2,1})$ and $d\text{vol}(\mathbb{E}^{2,1})$ are the metric and volume form, respectively, of 3-dimensional Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{E}^{2,1}$;
- $ds^2(\mathbb{E}^8)$ is the metric of 8-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^8 ; and
- H is a harmonic function on \mathbb{E}^8 . For example, we can take

$$H(r) = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{r^6},$$

corresponding to one or more coincident membranes at $r = 0$.

Some remarks:

- More general H are possible, corresponding to parallel membranes localised at the singularities of H . In fact, we can take $H(\boldsymbol{x})$ to be arbitrary harmonic function on \mathbb{E}^8 with suitable asymptotic behaviour: $H(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow 1$ as $|\boldsymbol{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, say.

- There exist solutions where H is invariant under some subgroup of isometries. In this case, the interpretation is often less clear: e.g., delocalised membranes,...

[Gauntlett et al., 97]

- Although the membrane solution with H given above preserves only $\frac{1}{2}$ of the supersymmetry, it interpolates between two maximally supersymmetric solutions: $\mathbb{E}^{10,1}$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\text{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ for $r \rightarrow 0$.

[Gibbons+Townsend, 93; Duff et al., 94]

Near-horizon geometry

Notice that

$$ds^2(\mathbb{E}^8) = dr^2 + r^2 ds^2(S^7),$$

where $ds^2(S^7)$ is the metric on the unit 7-sphere $S^7 \subset \mathbb{E}^8$.

In the limit $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} H(r) \sim \frac{\alpha}{r^6}.$$

Therefore in this limit,

$$ds^2 = \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} r^4 ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{2,1}) + \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} r^{-2} dr^2 + \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} ds^2(S^7).$$

The last term is the metric on a round 7-sphere of radius $R = \alpha^{1/6}$. The first two terms combine to produce the metric on 4-dimensional *anti de Sitter* spacetime with “radius” $R_{\text{adS}} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{1/6}$:

$$ds_{\text{adS}}^2 = R_{\text{adS}}^2 \left[\frac{du^2}{u^2} + \left(\frac{u}{R_{\text{adS}}} \right)^2 \frac{ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{2,1})}{R_{\text{adS}}^2} \right],$$

where $u = \frac{r^2}{4R_{\text{adS}}}$.

Other branes

Similar considerations apply to other branes.

e.g., 3-brane in $D = 10$ [Horowitz+Strominger, 91]

$$ds^2 = H^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{3,1}) + H^{\frac{1}{2}} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^6),$$

where

$$H(r) = 1 + \frac{\beta}{r^4},$$

whose near-horizon geometry is given by

$$\text{AdS}_5(\beta^{1/4}) \times S^5(\beta^{1/4}).$$

Generally there are supersymmetric p -branes in D dimensions with near-horizon geometry

$$\text{AdS}_{p+2} \times S^{D-p-2}.$$

These solutions are all maximally supersymmetric. Sacrificing some (but not all!) of the supersymmetry, one can obtain p -branes with more interesting near horizon geometries.

[Gibbons+Townsend, 93; Duff et al., 95; Castellani et al., 98]

Solutions exist whose near-horizon geometries are of the form

$$\text{AdS}_{p+2} \times M^{D-p-2},$$

where M is compact Einstein with positive cosmological constant $\Lambda = D - p - 3$, just as for the standard sphere $(D - p - 2)$ -sphere.

The transverse space to the p -brane will be the (deleted) *metric cone* $C(M)$ of M .

Topologically, $C(M) \cong \mathbb{R}^+ \times M$ with metric

$$ds_{\text{cone}}^2 = dr^2 + r^2 ds^2(M).$$

If $M = S^{D-p-2}$, then $C(M) = \mathbb{E}^{D-p-1} \setminus \{0\}$. In this case, the metric extends smoothly to the apex of the cone.

More generally,

M is Einstein with $\Lambda = \dim M - 1$

$\Rightarrow C(M)$ is *Ricci-flat* and the metric has a conical singularity.

Supersymmetry \Rightarrow

M admits *real Killing spinors*



$C(M)$ admits *parallel spinors*

[Bär, 93]

Simply-connected spin manifolds admitting parallel spinors are classified by their *holonomy group*.

[Wang, 89]

Fact: $C(M)$ Ricci-flat \Rightarrow not locally symmetric.

Fact: $C(M)$ is either flat or has irreducible holonomy group.

[Gallot, 79]

Therefore, we need only consider irreducible holonomy groups of manifolds which are not locally symmetric.

In other words, those in Berger's table.

Holonomy and parallel spinors

Let (X^D, g) be a simply-connected irreducible spin riemannian manifold which is not locally symmetric and ∇ the riemannian connection.

The *holonomy group* $\text{Hol}(\nabla)$ of ∇ is a compact Lie subgroup of $\text{SO}(D)$. These were classified by Berger, with simplifications due to Simons, Alekseevskii.

Of those, the ones which admit parallel spinors are given by the following table, which also lists the number N (or (N_L, N_R) in even D) of linearly independent parallel spinors.

[Besse, 87; Wang, 89]

D	$\text{Hol}(\nabla)$	Geometry	N
$4k + 2$	$\text{SU}(2k + 1)$	Calabi–Yau	$(1, 1)$
$4k$	$\text{SU}(2k)$	Calabi–Yau	$(2, 0)$
$4k$	$\text{Sp}(k)$	hyperkähler	$(k + 1, 0)$
7	G_2	exceptional	1
8	$\text{Spin}(7)$	exceptional	$(1, 0)$

The *holonomy principle* guarantees the existence of certain parallel tensors on $C(M)$, whenever the holonomy group reduces.

For the geometries in the Table, we find

- **Calabi–Yau n -fold**
Orthogonal complex structure I and complex holomorphic volume n -form Λ .
- **Hyperkähler**
Quaternionic structure I , J and K .
- **G_2 holonomy**
3-form Φ and 4-form $\tilde{\Phi} \equiv \star\Phi$.
- **Spin(7) holonomy**
Self-dual 4-form Ω .

The parallel tensors on $C(M)$, together with the *Euler vector* $\xi = r\partial_r$, induce interesting geometric structures on M .

(We identify M and $\{1\} \times M \subset C(M)$.)

Example: $C(M)$ has $\text{Spin}(7)$ holonomy, Ω the Cayley 4-form. Then define a 3-form ϕ on M by

$$\phi \equiv \iota(\xi) \cdot \Omega \quad \text{so that} \quad \Omega = dr \wedge \phi + \star\phi.$$

$\nabla\Omega = 0$ on $C(M)$ implies $\nabla\phi = \star\phi$ on M .

$\Rightarrow M$ has *weak G_2 holonomy*.

Example: $C(M)$ has G_2 holonomy, Φ the associative 3-form. The 2-form $\omega \equiv \iota(\xi) \cdot \Phi$ defines an almost complex structure J on M by

$$\langle X, JY \rangle = \omega(X, Y).$$

$\nabla\Phi = 0$ on $C(M)$ implies $\nabla_X J(X) = 0$ but $\nabla_X J \neq 0$ on M . $\Rightarrow M$ is *nearly Kähler*.

Similarly one can recognise the geometric structures for the hyperkähler and Calabi–Yau cases.

Every parallel complex structure I on $C(M)$ gives rise to a *Sasaki* structure on M :

- a unit norm Killing vector $X = I\xi$;

- a dual 1-form $\theta = \langle X, - \rangle$;

- a $(1,1)$ tensor $T = -\nabla X$ satisfying

$$\nabla_V T(W) = \langle V, W \rangle X - \theta(W) V.$$

(In fact, $C(M)$ is Kähler $\Leftrightarrow M$ is Sasaki.)

It follows that

$C(M)$ is Calabi–Yau $\Leftrightarrow M$ is *Sasaki–Einstein*

$C(M)$ is Hyperkähler $\Leftrightarrow M$ is *3-Sasaki*

[Bär, 93]

New supersymmetric horizons

In summary, if the transverse space of a supersymmetric p -brane in D dimensions is a metric cone $C(M)$, then the near-horizon geometry is $\text{AdS}_{p+2} \times M^d$, where $d \equiv D - p - 2$.

The fraction ν of the supersymmetry which is preserved relative to the round sphere will depend on the number of Killing spinors. We also list the fraction $\bar{\nu}$ corresponding to the opposite orientation for M .

d	Geometry of M	$(\nu, \bar{\nu})$
7	weak G_2 holonomy	$(\frac{1}{8}, 0)$
	Sasaki–Einstein	$(\frac{1}{4}, 0)$
	3-Sasaki	$(\frac{3}{8}, 0)$
6	nearly Kähler	$(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$
5	Sasaki–Einstein	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$

Notice that this is particularly rich in dimension 7, when the transverse space to the brane has dimension 8.

Some examples

Consider the generalised membrane solution where \mathbb{E}^8 is replaced by a metric cone $C(M)$. Its near-horizon geometry is of the form

$$\text{AdS}_{3+1} \times M^7.$$

Supersymmetric M include:

- **3-Sasaki** ($\frac{3}{16}$ supersymmetry)
 $SU(3)/S(U_1 \times U_1)$
 N_{010} [Castellani+Romans, 84]
Infinite toric family [Bielawski, 97; Boyer et al, 98]
- **Sasaki–Einstein** ($\frac{1}{8}$ supersymmetry)
 M_{pqr} [Castellani et al., 84]
Circle bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, $\mathbb{C}P^3$, $SU(3)/T^2$, $Gr(2|5)$ [Boyer+Galicki]
- **weak G_2 holonomy** ($\frac{1}{16}$ supersymmetry)
Any squashed 3-Sasaki manifold (e.g., S^7)
 $SO(5)/SO(3)$, N_{pqr} [Castellani+Romans, 84]
 N_{kl} [Aloff–Wallach, 75]

In $D = 10$ a membrane has a 7-dimensional transverse space which can be chosen to be a cone over a nearly Kähler manifold.

In the 3-brane solution in $D = 10$, we can also substitute the cone over the round S^5 for the cone over any Sasaki–Einstein manifold. Examples include circle bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Notice that for 5 transverse dimensions ($d = 4$), there are no examples except the sphere. Therefore there does not exist a generalised M5-brane in this context.

General remarks

Homogeneous examples have all been known for some time. [Castellani et al., 98]

There are infinitely many homotopy types of weak G_2 holonomy manifolds, and even examples of *exotic differentiable structures*.

[Kreck+Stolz, 88]

Non-homogeneous examples are also plentiful, although their supergravity spectrum is much harder to compute. For example, all possible rational homotopy types ($b_i = 0$ except for $b_2 = b_5$) of 3-Sasaki manifolds appear.

There exists a 3-Sasaki quotient construction which, via the cone construction, corresponds to the hyperkähler quotient construction. [Boyer et al., 94]

All known examples are toric quotients of spheres whose cones are toric hyperkähler manifolds. Some of these toric hyperkähler are dual to intersecting branes.

[Gauntlett et al., 97]

Outlook

The relation between supersymmetry and geometry is still going strong. Many questions are open:

Questions:

- What can one say about the spectra of the dual CFTs in the non-homogeneous examples?
- Does duality relate the near-horizon geometries in an interesting way? Can some of these geometries be dual to near-horizon geometries of intersecting branes?
- Is there a more direct relationship between the 3-Sasaki quotient and supersymmetry?
- Can one substitute S^7 for an exotic S^7 and remain with a supergravity vacuum solution? Is it supersymmetric?