OCCASIONAL PAPERS OF THE MUSEUM OF ZOOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN

University of Michigan Press

THE SCIENTIFIC NAMES OF THE AMERICAN "SMOOTH DOGFISH," MUSTELUS CANIS (MITCHILL), AND OF THE RELATED EUROPEAN SPECIES

By CARL L. HUBBS

At the present time both the generic and the specific name of the American "smooth dogfish" are in a state of flux, and much the same uncertainty holds for the "smooth hound" and the "spotted hound" of Europe. From the beginnings of ichthyology, the systematics as well as the nomenclature of the sharks of this type has been replete with errors and confusion.

Highlights in the synonymy of the American smooth dogfish follow:

Mustelus canis (Mitchill)

Squalus canis.—Mitchill (1815: 486).

Mustelus canis.—De Kay (1842: 355, Pl. 64, Fig. 209). Storer (1846: 253; 1855: 251, Pl. 37, Fig. 2). Baird (1855: 39). Gill (1864b: 263; 1873: 35 of separate = 813 of volume). Jordan and Gilbert (1882: 109; 1883: 870). Jordan and Evermann (1896: 29). Bean (1903: 23). Smith (1907: 32). Kendall (1908: 3). Nichols and Murphy (1916: 7, fig.). Fowler (1920: 144; 1923: 10, fig.). Nichols and Breder (1926: 13, fig.). Breder (1929: 14, fig.). Truitt, Bean, and Fowler (1929: 29).

Galeus canis.—Jordan (1885: 6 of separate = 794 of volume; 1904: 16).

Cynais canis.—Fowler (1906: 60; 1907: 254, 2 figs.; 1908: 55).

Cynias canis.—Jordan (1929: 9). Jordan, Evermann, and Clark (1930: 13).

Galeorhinus canis.-White (1937: 42, Fig. 34).

Mustelus laevis (not Squalus laevis Blainville).—Günther (1870: 386—New York record).

Rhinotriacis laevis .-- Jordan and Gilbert (1883: 60).

Galeorhinus laevis.—Garman (1913: 176, Pl. 4, Figs. 6-9, and Pl. 60, Figs. 1-4). Radcliffe (1916: 267, Fig. 19). Bigelow and Welsh (1925: 25, Fig. 5). White (1937: 64, 92, and 123, Pl. 6, Fig. c, Pl. 13, Fig. p, and Pl. 41, Fig. a.

Mustelus hinnulus (not Squalus hinnulus Blainville).—Jordan and Gilbert (1883: 19).

Mustelus mustelus (not Squalus mustelus Linnaeus).—Fowler (1909: 815; 1918: 2, 14, and 15, Pl. 2). Hildebrand and Schroeder (1928: 47, Fig. 27). Jordan, Evermann, and Clark (1930: 13). Bigelow and Schroeder (1936: 321).

"Mustelus or Pleuracromylon mustelus."—Jordan (1917a: 87).
"Mustelus asterias (Valmont) or Cynias canis (Mitchill)."—Jordan (1917a: 87).

This synonymy is restricted to the common smooth dogfish of the inshore waters of the East Coast of the United States, from New England to North Carolina. One or more species have been recorded from other waters in the western Atlantic, as follows:

Bermuda—Cynias canis and Mustelus mustelus Beebe and Tee-Van (1933: 24, fig.).

Key West—Mustelus canis Jordan (1884: 148).—A very doubtful record, on authority of fishermen.

Cuba—Mustelus canis Poey (1868: 453, and 1876: 201).

Brazil—Cynias canis Ribeiro (1907: 161).

Argentina—Mustelus vulgaris Günther (1880: 7); Galeus canis Berg (1895: 7), Mustelus canis Evermann and Kendall (1906: 68), and Galeorhinus mustelus White (1937: 30, 86, 92, and 123, Pl. 41, Fig. b, and Pl. 49, Fig. d).

Whether the North American form canis ranges into the West Indian, Gulf of Mexico, and South American regions can only be determined by careful comparisons. There seems to have been no sound basis, in previously published data, for the suspicion of Günther (1870: 386) nor for the indication of

Jordan, Evermann, and Clark (1930: 13) that two species occur on the Atlantic coast of the United States. Günther simply made a wild guess, and the other authors just quoted did not digest the literature carefully: Fowler in recording Mustelus mustelus from New Jersey did not add that species to our list, but merely identified (wrongly) the American form canis with the European form mustelus. We also fail to understand why Beebe and Tee-Van have identified their Bermuda specimens with Mustelus mustelus, while accepting other Bermuda and United States records as based on Cynias canis. The denticle characters which they claim to be diagnostic of mustelus are to be seen in our mainland specimens of canis.

Recent, unpublished researches of Frank E. Firth and of Stewart Springer have indicated the occurrence of more than one species of *Mustelus* in eastern North America, but their existence does not seem to enter into the nomenclatorial problems here discussed.

In view of the synonymizing of Galeus with Mustelus the use of the name Galeus canis for the European "tope" (Galeorhinus or Eugaleus galeus) causes concern over the availability of the name canis of Mitchill for the common American Mustelus. If the combination of Galeus canis was ever employed in nomenclatorially acceptable form prior to 1815. Mitchill's name canis would have become a homonym when Mustelus and Galeus were synonymized and should never be resurrected according to a literal interpretation of the Rules. Available synonymies, however, indicate that the name Galeus canis was not employed between pre-Linnaean time and 1841 or shortly before that year, when Bonaparte and Müller and Henle used that combination on the authority of Rondelet (1554; see the extensive synonymy in Doderlein, 1881: 36). Therefore the name Squalus canis remains available for the common American Mustelus.

In brief explanation of the involved synonymy of *Mustelus canis* given above it may be stated that Mitchill differentiated the American form as a distinct species, *Squalus canis*. In general this specific name has been accepted, either for an ex-

clusively American species or as the supposedly oldest name for a species wrongly thought also to occur in Europe. Others, mostly forgetting this matter of priority, or using a name of older date based on European types, have synonymized canis with one of the nominal European species: Mustelus laevis Blainville (1825: 84), Squalus hinnulus Blainville (1825: 83, Pl. 20, Fig. 2), Squalus mustelus Linnaeus (1758: 235), and Galeus asterias Valmont de Bomare (1768, Vol. 4: 746), non-available, but equivalent to Mustelus asterias Cloquet (1821: 407).

It needs be stated in this connection that Jordan and Evermann's account of Mustelus canis (1896: 29) was obviously based in large measure on the European species Mustelus asterias (for synonymy see Table I), with which they confused our species. The statements "teeth small, many-rowed, flat and smooth, rhombic, arranged like pavement, alike in both jaws, and blunter than in any other sharks," "embryo without placenta," and "sometimes with pale spots" apply to the European species mentioned, and contrast with the characters of the common American form. Our species, especially when young, has rather definitely cusped teeth, hardly to be described as pavement-like; its embryos develop a pseudoplacenta, as clearly shown by Fowler (1909: 815, and 1918: 15, Pl. 2), and it never shows white spots, according to all the information available.

Jordan and Evermann, and before them Jordan and Gilbert, and later Jordan (in "Opinion 93" of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature), were thus clearly in error in uniting the American species canis with the European form which has passed (see Table I) under the varied names of asterias, stellatus, hinnulus, albomaculatus, plebejus, vulgaris, and mustelus (the "spotted hound"). If canis is identical with any one of the two or three European species, we should, with Garman (1913: 176), refer it rather to M. mustelus (M. laevis). The taxonomic error of confounding the American canis with the probably less closely related of the European species is the basis of much of the confusion which

TABLE I

Names Used by Leading European Authors who Have Separated the Two Common European Species of the Mustelus Type

	Name used for the "smooth hound," Mustelus mustelus, the unspotted species with more pointed teeth and incompletely ridged scales.	Name used for the "spotted hound," Mustelus asterias, the white-spotted species with very blunt teeth and completely ridged scales.	
Rondelet, 1554: 374- 76 (after Aristotle)	Galeus laevis¹	Galeus asterias ¹	
Artedì, 1738: 93-94	Squalus dentibus obtu- sis seu granulosis, a	Squalus dentibus obtu- sis seu granulosis, β	
Jonstonus, 1767: 26	Galeus or Mustelus laevis ²	Galeus asterias ²	
Duhamel, 1782: 300	Galeus laevis ³	Galeus stellatus ³	
Bonnaterre, 1788: 7	L'Emissole (S. Mus- telus)	Le Lentillat	
Lacépède, 1789: 242	Squale Emissole ("Squalus muste- lus Linne" in synonymy)	Le Lentillat (as variety)	
Cuvier, 1817: 128	Emissole commune	Emissole tachetée de blanc	
Cloquet, 1821: 406-7	Mustelus vulgaris	Mustelus asterias	
Blainville, 1825: 81- 84	Squalus (Galeorhinus) laevis	S. (G.) mustelus and S. (G.) hinnulus	
Risso, 1826: 126-28	Mustelus laevis	Mustelus stellatus	
Bonaparte, 1841: 6 pp., 2 figs.	Mustelus equestris	Mustelus plebejus	
Müller and Henle, 1841: 64-66	Mustelus vulgaris, Var. 1	Mustelus vulgaris, Var. 2	
Müller and Henle, 1841: 190	Mustelus laevis	Mustelus vulgaris	
Duméril, 1865: 399- 403	Mustelus laevis	Mustelus vulgaris	
Günther, 1870: 385-87	Mustelus laevis	Mustelus vulgaris	
Moreau, 1881, Vol. 1: 310-16, Figs. 43-44	Mustelus laevis	Mustelus vulgaris	
Doderlein, 1881: 30-	Mustelus equestris	Mustelus plebejus	
Pietschmann, 1908 Pre-Linnaean.	Mustelus laevis	Mustelus mustelus	

² Name not available because proposed in a post-Linnaean reprint of a pre-Linnaean work.

³ Name not available because the author was not consistently binomial.

pertains to the nomenclature of all these species and of the genera which have been based on them.

The American "smooth dogfish," canis, is probably not identifiable with any European species. To be sure, our species

agrees well with the diagnostic characters of M. mustelus as these are given by most European authors (Table I) who have distinguished the two common European species (not considering the doubtful form punctulatus, and possibly others). But if we compare canis with the most critical distinction of the European species, namely that of Pietschmann (1908), we find that it possesses at least two features in apparent disagreement with those of mustelus (the M. laevis of Pietschmann). The borders of the two dorsal fins are whitish in the young and half-grown, instead of blackish, and the structure of the denticles is very different. Denticles from the position sampled by Pietschmann, namely on the back to either side of the midline and in front of the first dorsal fin, are provided with much stronger ridges than in mustelus, as figured by that author. As in M. asterias (the M. mustelus of Pietschmann), the median pair of ridges, in this part of the body, extend far beyond the middle of the scale, to or almost to the apex. A possibly valid further distinction lies in the much larger areas of the skin which seem to separate the denticles, as is shown in Radcliffe's figure (1916: 267, Fig. 19). That figure was based on a piece of skin from below the first dorsal, and to judge from our material, from just below the midline of the sides, where the ridges become shorter and weaker, though still usually covering more than half the length of the scale.

The American form canis may, on this evidence, be again confidently validated as a distinct species. The problem of its generic nomenclature, however, is one of great confusion. For the solution of this question, it is necessary to review the involved nomenclatorial history of the two common European species.

Some of the pre-Linnaean writers, including Rondelet (1554: 375-76) clearly distinguished between the two European species and generally followed Aristotle in using the name asterias (or stellatus of similar meaning) for the "spotted hound" and laevis for the "smooth hound." Linnaeus (1758: 235), however, followed others among the pre-Linnaean authors in confounding the two species, calling the complex

Squalus mustelus. His description of two words, "dentibus obtusis," taken from Artedi's name, applies better to the "spotted hound" than to the "smooth hound," though the latter can hardly be excluded on this account alone. Furthermore, the references given by Linnaeus, except to S. dentibus obtusis of Artedi, are all to Galeus or Mustelus laevis, which name was used for the "smooth hound" by such of his predecessors as separated the species. However, Garman and others have indicated that the pre-Linnaean accounts quoted by Linnaeus are in part based on the "spotted hound." This certainly is true of Linnaeus' prime authority Artedi (1738: 93), whose Squalus dentibus obtusis seu granulosis specifically covered a subdivision α for the laevis of authors and β for asterias.

The Linnaean specific name mustelus was therefore a compound, and as such was passed on to the "first reviser" to disentangle. None of the writers immediately following 1758 appears to have qualified as such; almost certainly not Duhamel du Monceau (1782: 300), quoted by Garman (1913: 176) as using the name Galeus laevis for the "emissole" or "smooth hound." Duhamel's work is apparently to be excluded from nomenclatorial consideration on the grounds of its not being consistently binomial (see "Article 25" of The International Rules on Zoological Nomenclature). However, he did definitely separate the two forms, naming them Galeus laevis and Galeus stellatus.

Sherborn quotes the name Mustelus laevis as having been used by P. L. S. Müller in 1767, that is, in Müller's post-Linnaean edition of Knorr's Deliciae Naturae Selectae (1767, Vol. 2: 141). This name occurs here, however, merely in a table of plates, in this connection: "H. IV. fig. 1. Un petit Haay, ou Loup marin, ou Requin. Mustelus laevis Ionst Linn"; the species is described on page 55 and there called "un Chien marin jeune encore, que porte le nom de Mustelus, & que quelques un apellent aussi Galaeus levis."

So also I find Galeus or Mustelus laevis (and Galeus asterias) described and figured in a post-Linnaean edition of Jonstonus (1767: 26, Pl. 8). But these names, by "Opinion 5" of the

International Commission, are ineligible because they were merely reprinted from a pre-Linnaean account.

Bonnaterre (1788: 7, Pl. 7, Fig. 21), in his great *Ichthyologie*, may be regarded as the first reviser of the Linnaean species *Squalus mustelus*. He very definitely described and figured the "smooth hound" only under that name. Following his account of *S. mustelus*, he appended:

a. Le Lentillat, décrit dans Willughby, est moucheté de taches blanches, semblables à les lentilles. Les mers de l'Europe.

This I interpret as excluding the "spotted hound" from the species mustelus, and as restricting that name to the "smooth hound." Since Bonnaterre used the Linnaean names and system, his action must be considered. Lacépède in the following year (1789: 242) described the "smooth hound" as "le Squale Émissole," quoting "Squalus mustelus, Linné, édition de Gmelin," as a synonym, and indicated the "lentillat" or "spotted hound" as an unnamed variety. Gmelin's Squalus mustelus (1789), although indicated by Garman only in the synonymy of his Mustelus mustelus (the "spotted hound"), remained the composite species of Linnaeus (1758).

Linck (1790) was apparently the first author to use either the specific name *laevis* or the generic name *Mustelus* in available form. Linck's diagnoses, as quoted by Gill, were in the following words:

ORDNUNG. ZÄHNE IN BEIDEN KINLADEN ALLEIN, OHNE UNTERSCHIED DER VORDER- UND BACKENZÄHNE.

a. Ohne Kiemendeckel.

Squalus, Mustelus, Pristis, Raja, Rhinobatos.

Stumpfe Zähne ein rundlicher Körper M. laevis (Squalus Mustelus Linn.) Unterscheiden sich von dem vorigen Geschlecht [Squalus] doch sehr dadurch, dass sie weniger gefrässig sind, sich mehr von vegetabilien nähren, und eine mehr glatte Haut haben.

Gill rightly concluded that "the name is thus accompanied by a good diagnosis and typonym and consequently is well entitled to place instead of *Mustelus* of Cuvier or *Galeus* of Rafinesque."

I cannot agree with Gill and with Jordan (1917a: 87; in Jordan and Hubbs, 1925: 100, and in Opinions rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 1926, No. 91: 8) that Linck's action in itself "must fix the name Mustelus mustelus on the 'Smooth Hound'.' Linck, it seems perfectly obvious, merely adopted the specific name laevis out of the synonymy of Squalus mustelus, in order to avoid tautonymy. Since the Rules definitely provide that a specific name shall not be discarded by reason of its being a tautonym, Mustelus laevis Linck reverted, simultaneously with its proposal, into the synonymy of Mustelus mustelus. nothing in Linck's account to suggest that he was dividing or in any way revising Linnaeus' species mustelus. real indication as to what species the laevis of Linck represents is the synonymizing of it with Squalus mustelus Linnaeus. For practical purposes, therefore, the latter name is the type of the genus Mustelus Linck.

The limitation of the specific name *laevis* therefore still was left by Linck for a first reviser. If the two constituent species are regarded as generically distinct, his generic name *Mustelus* was also a compound, requiring a first reviser to unsnarl.

Cloquet (1821: 406-7) correctly and with nomenclatorial propriety separated *Mustelus vulgaris* and *Mustelus asterias*, but quoted "Squalus mustelus, Linn." in the synonymy of the latter. This action was contrary to that of Bonnaterre.

Blainville (1825) may qualify as the first reviser of the species name *laevis*, as he retained the name *mustelus* for what Moreau (1881: 311), Doderlein (1881: 30), Garman (1913: 170), and others have regarded as the "spotted hound" (also describing this species as *S. hinnulus*), but separated the "smooth hound" as *S. laevis*.

Risso (1826) is usually indicated as the first reviser, but Risso definitely referred to Blainville's treatise by page and figure number, and hence certainly followed him. Risso erected three species of "Mustellus," namely stellatus, levis, and punctulatus. The last-named species remains doubtful, though accepted by Müller and Henle (1841: 66 and 190) and Garman

(1913: 173). M. levis Risso is clearly the "smooth hound," according to description as well as references. Risso's stellatus is definitely the "spotted hound," and he indicates among its particular synonyms S. mustelus Blainville and also "S. emissole. Lac. S. Mustelus Lin. (Missola.)" from his own Ichthuologie de Nice (1810: 33). Thus, as Pietschmann (1908: 693) insisted, Risso did involve the name mustelus in his revision. contrary to Jordan's claim. Risso's action if not Blainville's, might have fixed the name mustelus on the "spotted hound" had not Bonnaterre confined this name to the "smooth hound." This identification of the name mustelus by Risso met with general acceptance by European ichthyologists, although most of them with such exceptions as Pietschmann (1908), have used the name plebeius or vulgaris to avoid the tautonym Mustelus Bonaparte, Duméril, Günther, Doderlein, and Moreau are conspicuous examples (references in Table I). Garman in his great monograph (1913: 170) likewise employed the specific name mustelus for the "spotted hound."

These authors, Blainville and Risso as well as their followers, have however used the name laevis for the "smooth hound." Thus if Bonnaterre be rejected as the first reviser, Blainville and Risso could be interpreted as fixing the name mustelus on the one species and the name laevis on the other. Yet as apparently first proposed, laevis was clearly only a substitute name for mustelus, and hence took on the same status. The action of Bonnaterre or of Risso apparently required validation by arbitrary decision. "Opinion 93" of the International Commission made this decision, which supposedly is final and unchangeable, and laevis in the sense of the first reviser became the type of Mustelus, and the name of the European "smooth hound" was officially fixed as Mustelus mustelus (Linnaeus).

The placing of *Mustelus* in the official list of generic names would seem to render its validity clear despite the earlier use of the feminine form *Mustela* for a mammal, whether or not generic names differing only in gender be regarded in general as sufficiently distinct for nomenclatorial availability. Of course pre-Linnaean uses of the name are inconsequential.

Thus Garman's (1913: 3) objections to the acceptance of *Mustelus* for a genus of sharks are answered.

It is in a way unfortunate that *Mustelus mustelus* should be fixed as the name of the "smooth hound," as this action opposes the more frequent usage. It is also a misfortune that this action on the part of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature should have been based on unnecessarily weak arguments and on several mistakes in fact and in interpretation, in the proposal by Jordan:

- 1) The "spotted hound" has not usually been called *stellatus* Risso, but rather *vulgaris*, *plebejus*, or more recently *mustelus* (see Table I).
- 2) The earliest post-Linnaean writers who distinguished the European species did use *mustelus*, either directly or as a synonym, for one of the species (the "smooth hound"), or used *laevis* merely to avoid tautonymy.
- 3) The use of the name *Mustelus laevis* by Linck, as already indicated, did not in itself fix the specific name *mustelus*, and hence the generic name *Mustelus*, on the "smooth hound." The treatment of Bonnaterre, as pointed out above, would have been a less unsatisfactory basis for the official ruling.

However, any solution of the nomenclature of this group is bound to violate some usages. It may be noted that the name *Mustelus mustelus*, in that form, has hardly become general as the appellation of the "spotted hound." Furthermore, that name has been used in America for the "smooth hound."

Fortunately this official fixing of the name Mustelus on Mustelus mustelus (= laevis) seems to be in harmony with the action of Bonnaterre as first reviser. The uncovering of a still earlier reviser would presumably be of no significance, as the name Mustelus is now officially fixed and is therefore ruled as not subject to further changes.

Whitley (1932: 324) has called attention to the generic name *Emissola* Jarocki (1822: 488), obviously based on "l'Emissole." This is the French name accredited in almost all the early fish books to *Mustelus laevis* (= *mustelus*) or to the complex of "laevis" and asterias. In all probability *Emissola* was

based on Squalus mustelus. Emissola presumably ranks as the first objective synonym of Mustelus.

There has long been an argument as to whether Galeus Rafinesque and Galeorhinus Blainville both take as type the Linnaean species mustelus (see Gill, 1864a: 148; Jordan, 1885: 794; Jordan and Evermann, 1896: 29; Garman, 1913: 3-4; Jordan, 1917b: 78 and 95; Jordan, Evermann, and Clark, 1930: 13, etc.). For present purposes this is immaterial, for even if these genera be accepted with mustelus as type, they become synonyms of Mustelus Linck 1790, an older name.

Pleuracromylon Gill (1864a: 148) is a clear synonym of Mustelus, as that name is here employed, since Pleuracromylon was definitely proposed to contain the smooth-toothed sharks with a placenta, and since Mustelus laevis Müller and Henle (1841: 190) was definitely designated as the genotype. If not regarded as generically distinct, Rhinotriacis Gill (R. henlei), which is also described as having a pseudoplacenta, may be synonymized either with Mustelus, or, following Garman, with Triakis.

There remains for consideration the proper generic and specific name for the "spotted hounds," that is, for the pavement-toothed galeoid sharks allegedly without pseudoplacental development. The name Galeorhinus Blainville (1825) would go with the "spotted hounds," should Squalus (Galeorhinus) hinnulus Blainville be taken as the genotype. This action, however, is unlikely. The generic name Cynias Gill (1903: 960) was proposed for and has rather commonly been used for the "spotted hounds." It was, however, based on Mustelus canis, on the erroneous assumption then prevalent that canis develops no pseudoplacenta and is related to the European "spotted hound." In erecting the genus Cynias, Gill remarked:

The genus Mustelus, as understood by Jordan [that is, as defined by Jordan and Evermann to include the "spotted hounds," with very blunt teeth and no pseudoplacenta] and typified by "Mustelus canis" [that is, Jordan and Evermann's Mustelus canis] is thus bereft of a name [since Mustelus was taken to replace Pleuracromylon for the "smooth hound" and may take that of CYNIAS.

In order to hold the name Cynias with the group to which Gill meant it to apply, I as first definite reviser, identify the compound species Mustelus canis Jordan and Evermann (1896: 29) nomenclatorially with Mustelus asterias, the "spotted hound."

The specific name asterias has occasionally been applied, by recent as well as early ichthyologists, to the "spotted hound," though usually on the unacceptable basis of some pre-Linnaean Thus Le Danois (1913: 18, Fig. 13) uses the name "Mustelus asterias Rond." The specific name hinnulus Blainville (1825) antedates that of stellatus Risso (1826). Whether authors prior to Blainville gave available specific names to the spotted hound remains to be determined. Valmont's names are eliminated by "Opinion 89" of the International Commission, and apparently the names of Duhamel, Knorr (Müller's edition), and Jonstonus, as mentioned above, are also ineligible. Galeus asterias Rafinesque (1810: 46) listed by Doderlein (1881: 34) in the synonymy of Mustelus equestris (= laevis = mustelus) was a virtual nomen nudum, for his entry under Squalus was as follows: "347.—Asterias. Raf. asterias Linn.) Galeo asteriade. Gattupardu imperiali." "Squalus asterias Linn." seems nonexistent. The only use of the name asterias listed in synonymies which would seem probably available is Mustelus asterias Cloquet, 1821. The account by Cloquet (p. 407) is as follows:

LE LENTILLAT, Mustelus asterias.—Galeus asterias Rond., lib. 13, cap. 4; Squalus mustelus, Linn. Il ressemble beaucoup au précédent; seulement sa peau est moins rude, et toute parsemée de mouchetures étoilées ou arrondies (H. C.)

The description of color and the references to medieval and ancient writers shows that Cloquet described and named the common "spotted hound."

Conclusions

1. The scientific name of the American smooth dogfish should remain *Mustelus canis* (Mitchill).

- 2. This is the only species yet described from Atlantic North America, but additional species appear to exist on this coast.
- 3. The identification of *Mustelus canis* with the European "spotted hound" was erroneous.
- 4. M. canis is probably related more closely to the European "smooth hound," but differs in the color of the dorsal fins and the structure of the denticles.
- 5. If the two common European species are separated generically on the basis of the development or (alleged) lack of a pseudoplacenta, the genus with a pseudoplacenta should hold the name *Mustelus* Linck (1790), and the one without a pseudoplacenta that of *Cynias* Gill (1903). The lack of a pseudoplacenta at all embryonic stages in any *Mustelus*-like shark, however, remains to be proven.
- 6. The name of the European "smooth hound" is officially fixed as *Mustelus mustelus* (Linnaeus) by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, and this action is in harmony with that of Bonnaterre, who may be regarded as the first reviser.
- 7. The European "spotted hound" should apparently bear the name *Mustelus asterias* Cloquet, 1821, or *Cynias asterias* (Cloquet) if this species be generically separated from *Mustelus mustelus*. No conclusive basis for such generic separation seems to exist.

LITERATURE CITED

ARTEDI, PETER

1738 Synonymia nominum piscium. . . . Ichthyologiae, pars IV. Lugduni Batavorum, 118 pp.+index.

BAIRD, SPENCER F.

1855 Report on the Fishes Observed on the Coasts of New Jersey and Long Island during the Summer of 1854. (Reprinted with altered title: Report to the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution on the Fishes of the New Jersey Coast, as Observed in the Summer of 1854.) Washington, 40 pp. (Reprinted from 9th Ann. Rept. Smiths. Inst., 1854: 317–25, 337.)

BEAN, TARLETON H.

1903 Catalogue of the Fishes of New York. Bull. N. Y. St. Mus., 60 (Zool. 9): 1-784.

BEEBE, WILLIAM, AND JOHN TEE-VAN

1933 Field Book of the Fishes of Bermuda. New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons, xvi, 337 pp., 20 pls., 2 maps, 326 figs.

BERG, CARLOS

1895 Enumeración sistemática y sinonímica de los peces de las costas Argentina y Uruguaya. Anal. Mus. Nac. Buenos Aires, 4: 1-120, Pl. 1.

BIGELOW, HENRY B., AND WILLIAM C. SCHROEDER

1936 Supplemental Notes on Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish., 48: 319-43.

BIGELOW, HENRY B., AND WILLIAM W. WELSH

1925 Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. *Ibid.*, 40, 1924 (1): 1-567, Figs. 1-278.

BLAINVILLE, H. M. D. DE

1825 Faune Française. Poissons. Paris.

BONAPARTE, CARLO L.

1841 Iconografia della fauna italica per le quattro classi degli animali vertebrati. Vol. 3, Pesci: not paged, 78 pls.

BONNATERRE, L'ABBÉ

1788 Ichthyologie. In: Tableau encyclopédique et méthodique des trois règnes de la nature. Paris, lvi, 215 pp., Pls. A, B, 1-100.

BREDER, CHARLES M., JR.

1929 Field Book of Marine Fishes of the Atlantic Coast from Labrador to Texas Being a Short Description of Their Characteristics and Habits with Keys for Their Identification.

New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons, xxxvii, 332 pp., 8 col. pls., 403 figs.

CLOQUET, HIPPOLYTE

1821 [Ichthyological items signed H. C. in] Dictionnaire des sciences naturelles, Vol. 14. Paris.

CUVIER

1817 Regne animal distribué d'après son organisation. Paris, 2: i-xviii, 1-532.

DE KAY, JAMES E.

1842 Natural History of New York. Pt. I. Zoology of New-York or the New-York Fauna. Albany, xv, 415 pp., Pls. 1-79.

DODERLEIN, P.

1881 Manuale ittiologico del Mediterraneo. . . . Pt. II. Sinossi metodica della specie. Palermo, 4, 119 pp.

DUHAMEL DU MONCEAU, H. L.

1782 Traité général des pêches, et histoire des poissons qu'elles fournissent. Paris, Vol. 4.

Duméril, Aug.

1865 Elasmobranches plagiostomes et holocéphales ou chimères.

Histoire naturelle des poissons on ichthyologie générale. Paris, 1: 1-720, and Atlas, 8 pp., Pls. 1-14.

EVERMANN, BARTON WARREN, AND WILLIAM CONVERSE KENDALL

1906 Notes on a Collection of Fishes from Argentina, South America, with Descriptions of Three New Species. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 31: 67-108, Figs. 1-4.

FOWLER, HENRY W.

- 1906 The Fishes of New Jersey. Ann. Rept. N. J. St. Mus., 1905: 34-477, many figs., Pls. 1-101.
- 1907 A Supplementary Account of the Fishes of New Jersey. *Ibid.*, 1906: 251-402, many figs., Pls. 70-122.
- 1908 Notes on Sharks. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1908: 52-70, Figs. 1-2.
- 1909 The Smooth Hound, and Some Other Fishes in New Jersey. Science, (n.s.) 30 (779): 815.
- 1918 Fishes from the Middle Atlantic States and Virginia. Occ. Papers Mus. Zool. Univ. Mich., 56: 1-19, Pls. 1-2.
- 1920 A List of the Fishes of New Jersey. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., 33: 139-70.
- [1923] The Sharks of New Jersey. [Pamphlet] 1-20, 16 figs.

GARMAN, SAMUEL

1913 The Plagiostomia. (Sharks, Skates, and Rays). Mem. Mus. Comp. Zool., 36: i-xiii, 1-515, Pls. 1-75.

GILL, THEODORE

- 1864a Second Contribution to the Selachology of California. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1864: 147-51.
- 1864b Synopsis of the Eastern American Sharks. Ibid., pp. 258-65.
- 1873 Catalogue of the Fishes of the East Coast of North America. Smiths. Misc. Coll., 283: 1-50 (reprinted from Rept. U. S. Comm. Fish and Fish., 1, 1871-72: 779-822).
- 1903 On Some Neglected Genera of Fishes. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 26: 959-62.

GMELIN, Jo. FRED.

1789 Caroli a Linné Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae. . . . Ed. 13, Lipsiae, 3 vols. in 9 pts.

GÜNTHER, A.

- 1870 Catalogue of the Physostomi Containing the Families Gymnotidae, Symbranchidae, Muraenidae, Pegasidae, and of the Lophobranchii, Plectognathi, Dipnoi, Ganoidei, Chondropterygii, Cyclostomata, Leptocardii in the British Museum. Cat. Fishes Brit. Mus., 8: i-xxv, 1-549, 3 figs.
- 1880 A Contribution to the Knowledge of the Fish-Fauna of the Rio de la Plata. Ann. and Mag. Nat. Hist., (5) 6: 7-13, Pl. 2.

HILDEBRAND, SAMUEL F., AND WILLIAM C. SCHROEDER

1928 Fishes of Chesapeake Bay. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish., 43 (1), 1927: 1-388, Figs. 1-211.

"JAROCKI

"1822

"Zoologiia, 4: 448."

JONSTONUS, JOANNES

1767 Historiae naturalis de piscibus et cetis. Heilbronn, Libri V: 1-228, Pls. 1-47.

JORDAN, DAVID STARR

1884 List of Fishes Collected at Key West, Florida, with Notes and Descriptions. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 7: 103-50.

1885 A Catalogue of the Fishes Known to Inhabit the Waters of North America North of the Tropic of Cancer, with Notes on the Species Discovered in 1883 and 1884. Washington, 185 pp. (Reprinted from Ann. Rept. U. S. Comm. Fish., 1885: 787-973.)

1904 A Manual of the Vertebrate Animals of the Northern United States. 9th Ed. Chicago: A. C. McClurg Co., vi, 397 pp.

1917a Changes in Names of American Fishes. Copeia, 49: 85-89.

1917b The Genera of Fishes from Linnaeus to Cuvier, 1758-1833, Seventy-five Years, with the Accepted Type of Each. A Contribution to the Stability of Scientific Nomenclature. Stanford Univ. Publ. (Univ. Ser.), 161 pp.

1929 Manual of the Vertebrate Animals of the Northeastern United States Inclusive of Marine Species. 13th Ed. Yonkerson-Hudson, N. Y.: World Book Co., xxxi, 446 pp., 1 map, Figs. 1-15.

JORDAN, DAVID STARR, AND BARTON WARREN EVERMANN

1896 The Fishes of North and Middle America. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 47 (1): i-lx, 1-1240.

JORDAN, DAVID STARR, BARTON WARREN EVERMANN, AND HOWARD WALTON CLARK

1930 Check List of the Fishes and Fishlike Vertebrates of North and Middle America North of the Northern Boundary of Venezuela and Colombia. Rept. U. S. Comm. Fish., 1928, Pt. 2, App. 10: i-iv, 1-670.

JORDAN, DAVID STARR, AND CHARLES H. GILBERT

1882 Description of Four New Species of Sharks, from Mazatlan, Mexico. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 5: 102-10.

1883 Synopsis of the Fishes of North America. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 16, 1882: i-lvi, 1-1018.

JORDAN, DAVID STARR, AND CARL LEAVITT HUBBS

1925 Fishes obtained by David Starr Jordan in Japan, 1922. Mem. Carn. Mus., 10: 93-346, 1 fig., Pls. 5-12.

KENDALL, WILLIAM C.

1908 Fauna of New England. 8. List of the Pisces. Occ. Papers Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., 7: 1-152.

KNORR, GEORG WOLFGANG

1767 Deliciae naturae selectae; oder, Auserlezenes Naturalien-Kabinet aus den drey Reichen der Natur. Fortgesetzt von Ph. L. Statius Müller. Nürnberg, Vol. 2, pls.

LA CEPÈDE [LACÉPÈDE]

1798 Histoire naturelle des poissons. Paris, 1: i-cxlvii, 1-8, 1-532, pls., figs.

LE DANOIS, ÉDOUARD

1913 Contribution a l'étude systématique et biologique des poissons de la Manche Occidentale. Ann. Inst. Océanogr., 5 (5): 1-214, Figs. 1-319.

LINCK, HEINR. FR.

1790 Versuch einer Eintheilung der Fische nach den Zähnen. Magazin für das Neueste aus der Physik und Naturgeschichte, 6 (3): 28-38.

LINNAEUS, CAROLUS

1758 Systema natura per regna tria naturae. Holmiae, 824, iii pp. MITCHILL, SAMUEL LATHAM

1815 The Fishes of New York, Described and Arranged. Trans. Lit. and Phil. Soc. N. Y., 1: 355-692, 6 pls.

Moreau, Emile

1881 Histoire naturelle des poissons de la France. Paris, 1: i-vii, 1-478.

MÜLLER J., AND J. HENLE

1841 Systematische Beschreibung der Plagiostomen. Berlin, xxii, 204 pp., 60 pls.

NICHOLS, J. T., AND C. M. BREDER, JR.

1927 The Marine Fishes of New York and Southern New England. Zoologica, 9 (1): 1-192, 263 figs.

NICHOLS, JOHN TREADWELL, AND ROBERT CUSHMAN MURPHY

1916 Long Island Fauna. IV. The Sharks (Order Selachii).

Brooklyn Mus., Sci. Bull., 3 (1): 1-34, Pls. 1-3, 19 figs.

PIETSCHMANN, VICTOR

1908 Zur Unterscheidung der beiden europäischen Mustelus-Arten. Zool. Anz., 33 (5-6): 159-64, Figs. 1-5.

POEY [Y ALOY], FILIPE

1868 Synopsis piscium cubensium. Catalago razonado de los peces de la isla de Cuba. Repertorio Físico-Natural de la Isla de Cuba, 2: 279-484, Pl. 4.

1876 Enumeratio piscium cubensium. Madrid, "1875-1876": 1-224, Pls. 1-9. Reprinted from An. Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat., 4-6, 1875-77 (Pt. 3: 177-208, from Vol. 5, 1876: 373-404).

RADCLIFFE, LEWIS

1916 The Sharks and Rays of Beaufort, North Carolina. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish., 34, 1914: 241-84, Figs. 1-26, Pls. 38-49.

RAFINESQUE, C. S.

1810 Indice d'ittiologia siliciana.... Messina, 70 pp., Pls. 1-2. RIBEIRO, ALIPIO DE MIRANDA

1907 Faune brasiliense. Peixes. II (Desmobranchios). Arch. Mus. Nac. Rio de Janeiro, 14: 131-217, Pls. 2-19.

Risso, A.

1810 Ichthyologie de Nice, ou histoire naturelle des poissons du Departement des Alpes Maritimes. Paris, xxxvi, 388 pp., Pls. 1-11.

1826 Histoire naturelle des principales productions de l'Europe meridionale et particulièrement de celles des environs de Nice et des Alpes Maritimes. Paris, xvi, 480 pp., Pls. 1-16.

RONDELET, GULIELMUS

1554 Libri de piscibus marinis.... Lugduni, 14+583+23 pp., many figs.

SMITH, HUGH M.

1907 The Fishes of North Carolina. N. Car. Geol. and Econ. Surv., 2: i-xi, 1-449, Figs. 1-186, Pls. 1-19.

STORER, DAVID HUMPHREYS

1846 A Synopsis of the Fishes of North America. Cambridge, 298 pp. (Reprinted from Mem. Am. Acad. Arts and Sci., 2, 1846: 253-550.)

1855 A History of the Fishes of Massachusetts. Cambridge, 287 pp., Pls. 1-39. (Reprinted from Mem. Am. Acad. Arts and Sci., 5-9, 1855-1867.)

TRUITT, REGINALD V., BARTON A. BEAN, AND HENRY W. FOWLER

1929 The Fishes of Maryland. Maryland Cons. Dept., Cons. Bull., 3: 1-120, Figs. 1-63.

VALMONT DE BOMARE, J. C.

1768 Dictionaire raisonné universel d'histoire naturelle. . . . Paris, 4 vols.

WHITE, E. GRACE

1937 Interrelationships of the Elasmobranchs with a Key to the Order Galea. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., 74: 25-138, Figs. 1-66, Pls. 1-51.

WHITLEY, GILBERT P.

1932 Studies in Ichthyology. No. 6. Rec. Austral. Mus., 18: 322-48.