

# TCJA – Modification to Substantial Built-in Loss Rules under IRC § 743(d)



November 28, 2018



**Large Business  
& International**

# Objectives

- ❖ Understand the purpose of a IRC § 754 election.
- ❖ Determine what the substantial built in loss rules were intended to prevent.
- ❖ Review the changes to the substantial built in loss rules in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017.

# Purpose of Substantial Built-in Loss Rules

- ❖ The purpose of the substantial built-in loss (SBIL) rules of IRC § 743(d) is to prevent the double benefit of built-in losses that may result from the transfer of a partnership interest.
- ❖ The transferor partner has already recognized the built-in loss relating to partnership property upon sale or exchange of his interest.
- ❖ Partnership property basis needs to be adjusted with respect to transferee partner.
  - This eliminates the double counting of built-in loss partnership property.

# Prior SBIL Loss Rules (thru 12/31/2017)

## ❖ General rule:

- Partnership does not adjust the basis of partnership property following the transfer of a partnership interest.

## ❖ Exceptions IRC § 743(a):

- The partnership has made a one-time election under IRC § 754 to make basis adjustments, or
- The partnership has a SBIL immediately after the transfer.

# Prior SBIL Loss Rules (thru 12/31/2017) (cont'd)

- ❖ Partnership adjustments are made with respect to the transferee.
- ❖ IRC § 743 adjustments approximate the difference between the inside basis of partnership property and transferee outside basis in his interest.
- ❖ The adjustments approximate the result of a direct purchase of partnership property by the transferee.

# Prior Law SBIL Computation

- ❖ Used an aggregate approach to determine whether the partnership had a SBIL.
- ❖ The total FMV of partnership assets was compared to the total adjusted tax basis of partnership assets.
- ❖ A SBIL existed if the partnership's adjusted basis in its property exceeded the FMV of the partnership's property by more than \$250,000. IRC § 743(d).

# Example 1 - Prior Law Computation

## Example 1

- ❖ On 1/1/2017 Partnership ABC has assets with a FMV of \$3 M / adjusted basis of \$3.3 M.
- ❖ Partners A, B and C are equal partners and share all items of income and loss equally.
- ❖ Partner C sells his interest to D on 1/1/2017.
- ❖ ABC has a SBIL in the amount of \$300,000 (\$3.3 M adjusted basis – \$3 M FMV of property).
- ❖ ABC must adjust the basis of its depreciated property downward by \$100,000 for incoming Partner D.

# Polling question 1

Have you audited a Sec. 743(b) adjustment?

Yes

No



# TCJA Modifications to SBIL Rules

- ❖ **In addition to the present-law definition**, a SBIL also exists if the transferee would be allocated a net loss more than \$250,000.
  
- ❖ Under the TCJA the partnership has a SBIL when
  - the partnership's adjusted basis in the partnership property exceeds by more than \$250,000 the fair market value of such property, **or**
  - the transferee would be allocated a loss of more than \$250,000 if the partnership assets were sold for cash equal to their fair market value immediately after such transfer.

## Example 2 – Prior Law

Asset with Built in Loss in Excess of \$250,000

### ***No Substantial Built in Loss***

- ❖ On January 1, 2015, individuals W, X, and Y formed WXY partnership.
- ❖ W contributed a non-depreciable asset G with a FMV of \$3 million and a tax basis of \$1 million.
- ❖ In addition, W, X, and Y each contributed equal 1/3 interests in asset L, whose tax basis and FMV equaled \$3.6 million.
- ❖ The partnership broke even every year through December 31, 2016.

# Example 2 – Prior Law (continued)

## Initial Balance Sheet

<u>Assets</u>	<u>FMV</u>	<u>Tax Basis</u>	<u>Liabilities</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>FMV</u>	<u>Tax</u>
G	3,000,000	1,000,000	<b>Capital</b>	<b>W</b>	4,200,000	2,200,000
L	<u>3,600,000</u>	<u>3,600,000</u>	<b>Capital</b>	<b>X</b>	1,200,000	1,200,000
TOTAL	<u>6,600,000</u>	<u>4,600,000</u>	<b>Capital</b>	<b>Y</b>	<u>1,200,000</u>	<u>1,200,000</u>
			TOTAL		<u>6,600,000</u>	<u>4,600,000</u>

## Example 2 – Prior Law (continued)

- ❖ The partnership agreement provides that any gain or loss on the sale or exchange of Asset G is to be specially allocated to partner W.
- ❖ W, X and Y share equally in all other partnership items, including any gain or loss on the sale or exchange of Asset L. The partnership did not make a section IRC § 754 election.
- ❖ The partnership's balance sheet at 12/31/2016 was as follows (expanded to show value of assets):

# Example 2 – Prior Law (continued)

12/31/2016

<u>Assets</u>	<u>FMV</u>	<u>Tax Basis</u>	<u>Liabilities</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>FMV</u>	<u>Tax</u>
G	3,000,000	1,000,000	<b>Capital</b>	<b>W</b>	3,600,000	2,200,000
L	1,800,000	3,600,000	<b>Capital</b>	<b>X</b>	600,000	1,200,000
TOTAL	<u>4,800,000</u>	<u>4,600,000</u>	<b>Capital</b>	<b>Y</b>	<u>600,000</u>	<u>1,200,000</u>
			TOTAL		<u>4,800,000</u>	<u>4,600,000</u>

**Note:** that asset L declined in value by \$1.8 million.

## Example 2 – Prior Law (continued)

On January 1, 2017, Partner Y sold his partnership interest to Z for \$600,000 and recognized a loss of \$600,000 (\$600,000 FMV less adjusted tax basis of interest of \$1,200,000). Under prior law, because the partnership did not, **in the aggregate**, have a SBIL in its assets, it was not required to make an IRC § 743(b) adjustment with respect to Asset L. If the partnership were to sell Asset L, W, X, and Z would each recognize a loss of \$600,000, even though Partner Y recognized his share of the loss in asset L when he sold his partnership interest to Z.

## Polling question 2

The prior law used an aggregate approach to determine whether the partnership had a SBIL.

- True
- False

## Example 3 – SBIL after TCJA

- ❖ The facts are the same as in Example 2, except that Y sold his interest to Z on or after January 1, 2018. While the partnership does not, in the aggregate, have a SBIL in its assets, if the partnership were to sell Asset L, immediately after the sale, transferee Z, would be allocated a loss of \$600,000 (1/3 of the \$1,800,000 loss).
- ❖ Accordingly, a substantial built-in loss exists and Asset L's basis must be reduced with respect to transferee Z by \$600,000. IRC § 743(d).



## Example 3 – SBIL after TCJA (cont'd)

- ❖ Without the IRC § 743(b) adjustment, transferee partner Z's share of inside basis in asset L would be \$1,200,000 and Z's outside basis would be \$600,000 (or the amount paid to Y for Y's interest). If the asset were sold, Z could recognize the same loss Y recognized when he sold his interest.
- ❖ The TCJA change to IRC § 743(d) adjustment will reduce Z's share of the partnership's basis in L by \$600,000 and prevent the double counting of the loss.

## Polling question 3

**In addition to the present-law definition**, a SBIL also exists if the transferee would be allocated a net loss more than \$250,000.

- True
- False

# Summary

- ❖ The purpose of the substantial built-in loss (SBIL) rules of IRC § 743(d) is to prevent the double benefit of built-in losses that may result from the transfer of a partnership interest.
- ❖ Under prior law, a SBIL existed if the partnership's adjusted basis in its property exceeded the FMV of partnership property by more than \$250,000. IRC § 743(d).
- ❖ In addition to the prior law definition, the new law provides that a SBIL also exists if the transferee would be allocated a net loss more than \$250,000.